Hosted by http://www.sullivan-county.com/index.htm

1	VIRGINIA TOBACCO INDEMNIFICATION AND COMMUNITY
2	REVITALIZATION COMMISSION
3	701 East Franklin Street, Suite 501
4	Richmond, Virginia 23219
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	Executive Committee Meeting
10	Wednesday, October 27, 2010
11	5:00 p.m.
12	
13	R. T. Arnold Library
14	South Hill, Virginia
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

APPEARANCES

2

1

- 3 The Honorable Terry G. Kilgore, Chairman
- 4 The Honorable Frank M. Ruff, Vice Chairman
- 5 The Honorable Charles R. Hawkins, Chairman, Emeritus
- 6 The Honorable Kathy J. Byron
- 7 The Honorable Joseph P. Johnson, Jr.
- 8 The Honorable Mary Rae Carter
- 9 Deputy Secretary of Commerce & Trade
- 10 The Honorable Daniel W. Marshall, III
- 11 The Honorable Edward Owens
- 12 The Honorable Philip P. Puckett
- 13 The Honorable W. Roscoe Reynolds
- 14 The Honorable William C. Wampler, Jr.
- 15 The Honorable Thomas C. Wright

16 **COMMISSION STAFF**

- 17 Mr. Neal Noyes, Executive Director
- 18 Mr. Ned Stephenson, Deputy Executive Director
- 19 Mr. Timothy J. Pfohl, Grants Program Administration Manager
- 20 Ms. Stephanie S. Kim, Director of Finance
- Ms. Sara Williams, Grants Coordinator, Southwest Virginia
- 22 Ms. Sarah Capps, Grants Coordinator, Southside Virginia
- 23 Ms. Stephanie Allman, Program Administrator

24 **COUNSEL FOR THE COMMISSION**

25 Mr. Francis N. Ferguson, Esquire, Counsel for the Commission

1	October 13, 2010
2	
3	
4	DELEGATE KILGORE: I'll call the meeting of
5	the Executive Committee to order and I'd ask Neal to call the
6	roll.
7	MR. NOYES: Delegate Abbott?
8	DELEGATE ABBOTT: (No response)
9	MR. NOYES: Delegate Byron?
10	DELEGATE BYRON: Here.
11	MR. NOYES: Deputy Secretary Carter?
12	DEPUTY SECRETARY CARTER: Here.
13	MR. NOYES: Senator Hawkins?
14	SENATOR HAWKINS: Here.
15	MR. NOYES: Delegate Johnson?
16	DELEGATE JOHNSON: Here.
17	MR. NOYES: Delegate Kilgore?
18	DELEGATE KILGORE: Here.
19	MR. NOYES: Delegate Marshall?
20	DELEGATE MARSHALL: Here.
21	MR. NOYES: Mr. Owens?
22	MR. OWENS: Here.
23	MR. NOYES: Senator Puckett?
24	SENATOR PUCKETT: Here.
25	MR. NOYES: Senator Reynolds?

1	SENATOR REYNOLDS: Here.
2	MR. NOYES: Senator Ruff?
3	SENATOR RUFF: Here.
4	MR. NOYES: Senator Wampler?
5	SENATOR WAMPLER: Here.
6	MR. NOYES: Delegate Wright?
7	DELEGATE WRIGHT: Here.
8	MR. NOYES: Mr. Chairman, you have a
9	quorum.
10	DELEGATE KILGORE: Do I have a motion we
1	approve the minutes of 7/28/10?
12	SENATOR PUCKETT: So moved.
13	DELEGATE KILGORE: It's been moved and
14	seconded, all in favor of approval of the minutes say aye.
15	(Ayes). Opposed. (No response). Next is the reserve fund
16	report.
7	MR. STEPHENSON: Mr. Chairman, this is an
8	update for the Committee. I simply want to present to you
9	some of the statistics. In your reserve fund you may
20	remember this has been running for a little over a year now. It
21	essentially is a program in which the Commission will make
22	an offer to make a grant provided that the applicant can give
23	proof that he has obtained a match. Very quickly, there's
24	some statistics on the board. We've had 100 applications flow
25	through the reserve program and we've approved half of those

- that we've received. Of the half we have approved, about 40
- 2 percent of those cannot prove their match and they expire
- unused. We have some other entries there you can see. Of
- 4 note is the number on the far right hand side. Of the offers
- 5 that have been accepted, 33 of them for \$34 million. The
- 6 match money or hard proof that we have \$83 million, your \$34
- 7 million shook \$83 million of other funding sources for use in
- 8 the Tobacco Commission region and that's a pretty good ratio.
- 9 In this particular case, that \$83 million is not smoke because
- we have signed commitments in the file indicating that they
- are hard commitments.

21

- A couple of things we've learned from the use 12 of the reserve program Mr. Chairman, that is hard proof of 13 matching money is scarce. People speak of matching money, 14 they hope for it and they intend to get it but actually getting it 15 is hard. We still have, according to the numbers before you, 16 we have 10 offers outstanding. That means we've made the 17 offer and they're trying to secure a match. I think there's two 18 of those that have technically expired or the length of time 19 they have and we'll give them a little bit more time if they're 20
- 22 The last number on the page indicates that you have a balance

making an effort to get it but those 10 are still outstanding.

- in the fund of about \$3 million. Of the two that have expired,
- they're added to the pot and adds about \$5 million, making \$8
- 25 million in your reserve program. I think Mr. Chairman the

- director may want to talk to you at this time about the future
- of the reserve program.
- 3 DELEGATE KILGORE: Is it a successful
- 4 program?
- 5 MR. STEPHENSON: Yes, and those
- 6 representations of matching money will have to be proven
- ⁷ before we would move forward if that happened.
- MR. NOYES: Mr. Chairman and members of
- 9 the Committee it's been successful not only in leveraging a
- significant amount of money and if nothing else, it provides
- fiscal stimulation in the Tobacco Commission footprint. We
- suggest that this approach, this is going to take a lot of
- pressure off the money committee who would have seen these
- projects more certainly or many of them almost certainly and
- decide who wins and who loses. I think it's successful in that
- regard as well.
- What you're seeing up there is the three
- million available and you have applications pending for an
- amount that exceeds the available resources at this time. As
- 20 happy as I am with what this program has accomplished, I
- would say to the Committee that the opportunity that
- 22 presented itself with ARRA with the federal stimulus package
- where we were remarkably successful in securing large
- 24 amounts of money for the broadband program. That program
- 25 has ended. The panel that considers these projects will not

- commit any further funding beyond the available balance and
- that's a moving balance. I ask the Committee that further
- applications be suspended at 5:00 o'clock on Friday. No
- 4 further applications for the reserve program will be taken after
- 5 5:00 p.m. this Friday.
- 6 DELEGATE KILGORE: What would we do with
- 7 a caller that came in before that?
- 8 MR. NOYES: Some of the commitments the
- 9 Commission has made extend out into the winter and they
- have six months to produce the evidence that Ned referred to.
- When all of them have produced or failed to produce that there
- is a positive balance, I will advise the Executive Committee
- and you will determine how to use those funds. If there's a
- negative balance then we don't do a good job of spending
- money that we don't have. I need direction from the
- 16 Committee and we need to advise the full Commission of this.
- 17 There's no money so we can't spend it. Do we need a motion?
- MR. FERGUSON: I would suggest that there's
- 19 no requirement for a motion. I would suggest that a more
- formal action, motion by this Committee recommending to the
- full Commission that would probably carry more weight and
- 22 allow the staff to say to disappointed applicants that this fund
- 23 has ceased.
- DELEGATE KILGORE: Do I have a motion
- 25 that we direct staff?

- SENATOR RUFF: I move that we suspend as
 of Friday the 29th of October the reserve fund.

 DELEGATE KILGORE: It's been moved and
- 4 seconded by Senator Puckett. Any discussion? This fund is
- suspended as of 5:00 o'clock Friday, October 29th. Senator
- 6 Ruff's motion is October 29th at 5:00 o'clock we cease taking
- 7 applications for the reserve fund. All in favor say aye. (Ayes).
- 8 Opposed. (No response).
- 9 MR. STEPHENSON: Mr. Chairman on page 53
- in your book there's some statistics about the TROF program
- and I want to comment a little bit about that. If the
- 12 Committee would indulge me I wish to just expand on the
- 13 TROF program a little bit and help everybody understand how
- 14 it works.
- To date we've seen about 350 TROF
- transactions as it appears today in your book on page 53.
- Forty percent of those that have come to us and the deal never
- makes it. Localities go through the dance, make applications,
- 19 you approve it and send it all up and for whatever reason it
- 20 never happens. Of those that do happen and are disbursed,
- 21 about half of them deliver what they promise. The other half
- either repaid some money because they fell short or they
- 23 negotiate some relief or they flat out default on their
- obligations. These are not good numbers but I want you to
- 25 know that this is what's happened in the TROF program but

```
its gotten better. These are alive today and I think probably at
1
2
    your next meeting we probably need to report something like a
    five year trailing number because some of the early deals had
3
    a lot of problems and we need to get those off of our ledger.
4
                    I want to talk to you briefly if I may, about the
5
    two primary objectives of the TROF program that is jobs and
6
    investments. All of these jobs promise them hundreds of jobs
7
    and $10 million. You know that we routinely go to the Virginia
8
    Employment Commission and recheck Virginia unemployment
9
    tax returns filed by the employer that lists the names and the
10
    salaries and the headcount who's on the payroll and we use
11
    that to compare it to the promise that was made. That is
12
    pretty failsafe information and we find there's often a pretty
13
    big gap between promises and what shows up on the tax
14
    returns and we're enforcing those at a 100 percent level. The
15
    other promise made in these contracts is for capital
16
    investment. I'll tell you that capital investment is not defined
17
    anywhere. Everybody that makes that promise has their own
18
    definition of what that is and it's pretty broad. We've been
19
    struggling with this for some years and in the last year or two
20
    we have written into the contracts the capital investment is
21
    what shows up on the Commissioner of Revenues books in the
22
    county. If it doesn't show up there, it doesn't count. The
23
    theory is, it's not of any value if you don't get a tax based
24
    creation from it. A lot of money is spent on things that are a
25
```

- long way from home that are not capital salary and other
- things that don't show up. We're using capital investment as
- what shows up on the Commissioner's books. I bring that to
- 4 you by way of information and this is a little bit of a change
- 5 that's been going on now for about two years and the localities
- 6 are adjusting to this but it certainly makes it easier for us to
- determine what is on the Commission's books so we know if
- 8 they are performing on their promise or not.
- 9 MR. OWENS: The non-profit would show up
- on the Commission's books?
- MR. STEPHENSON: Correct.
- MR. OWENS: How do you engage with a non-
- profit.
- MR. STEPHENSON: The performance
- agreement that the recipient of the money signs says clearly
- that the capital investment promise of \$10 million or whatever
- it is that they promised will be measured by taxable assets
- assessed by the local Commissioner of Revenue. Ed is aware
- of one case where the grantee signed it and later on we
- discovered that entity is a tax exempt entity and it's not
- possible for them to invest in taxable assets. They had to
- 22 know that when they signed the agreement and that has not
- yet been fully adjudicated. We're trying to figure out what we
- 24 must do in that particular case. I'm happy to discuss it if you
- 25 want to.

1	DELEGATE MARSHALL: Do we have any non-
2	taxable?
3	MR. STEPHENSON: That's the first one I've
4	seen.
5	DELEGATE KILGORE: On page 53 a mature
6	partial performance number 26, 3.733150, is that the total
7	amount of TROF reward that we gave those 26 projects?
8	MR. STEPHENSON: Yes.
9	DELEGATE KILGORE: Of that total amount of
10	TROF they wouldn't be in default 3.733 or a portion of that?
11	MR. STEPHENSON: If they did a partial
12	performance and made a partial refund, \$1.120.
13	DELEGATE KILGORE: Is that all the money
14	with the partial performance? Is that all the money that we're
15	due or is there some others?
16	MR. STEPHENSON: That's all the money that
17	we're due, however, you may remember that for a couple of
18	years we had some old contracts that were so poorly worded
19	and so difficult to administer you instructed staff to negotiate
20	fulfillment and in some cases we discounted.
21	DELEGATE KILGORE: You mean in the
22	Commission?
23	MR. STEPHENSON: The Commission
24	discounted the amount that was due and we reached an
25	agreement with the party and the party did what they said and

paid it and we closed them out. 1 2 DELEGATE MARSHALL: Ned, the jobs that you talked about so they have three years or a three year 3 window to meet that job requirement? 4 MR. STEPHENSON: Yes. 5 DELEGATE MARSHALL: Three years ago the 6 world was a lot different than it is today and they had all due 7 intentions of meeting them three years ago and the air has 8 been let out of the balloon here so that must have been a 9 problem. 10 MR. STEPHENSON: It's a problem and many 11 promises were made under different economic conditions than 12 exist today. In many of those cases we have either granted an 13 extension or it appears they're making progress and will 14 ultimately get there or we've negotiated a discounted 15 settlement to try to accommodate the fact that the economy 16 went flat. It presents a difficult question because the promise 17 did not say I'll create 100 jobs if the economy holds up. It 18 says I'll create 100 jobs and if they didn't, they didn't. 19 SENATOR REYNOLDS: I'll just point out that 20 when I sign a 90 day note at the local bank they don't care too 21 much what happens with the economy. They expect their 22 23 money back.

CRANE-SNEAD & ASSOCIATES, INC.

you have mature and default.

24

25

DELEGATE KILGORE: On that same page Ned

1	MR. STEPHENSON: We have 27 TROF
2	contracts that have reached their three year clock. They are in
3	default and they have not delivered the jobs they promised nor
4	have they delivered a refund they promised and they're
5	hanging out there in a default status.
6	DELEGATE KILGORE: Have any of them
7	performed or do we know?
8	MR. STEPHENSON: Yes, we know and some of
9	them have partially performed but I call them in default until
10	such time as they have either delivered the jobs or settled with
11	me. If they are ignoring me, I call them in default. You may
12	know that a year or so ago the Committee authorized us to
13	create a list of in default localities, freeze disbursements to
14	those localities until cured and I can tell you that nothing you
15	have done has been more effective and that list is almost non-
16	existent now.
17	SENATOR PUCKETT: A lot of good cussing
18	too.
19	MR. STEPHENSON: That's true. I'm going to
20	move on a little bit.
21	DELEGATE KILGORE: This year we have at
22	our last meeting, how much investment have we made?
23	MR. STEPHENSON: Two and a half million
24	dollars and some 800 jobs if the promises hold up, that's
25	pretty good.

DELEGATE MARSHALL: The US Green 1 2 Energy, his comment was that the reason he's in the tobacco region is because of the Tobacco Commission. He's the only 3 one that said it. 4 MR. STEPHENSON: Mr. Chairman, I've given 5 you some guidance and the Committee on this topic if you'd 6 indulge me for a minute. I'm going to give you just a sample 7 request that comes to us and you might have a sample TROF 8 request that comes to us. You might hear someone say that they were promised \$320 or \$30 million investment. We take 10 that and locate a number of factors surrounding that promise. 11 Notice on the left hand side these factors are the same 12 regardless of where that TROF deal is placed in the tobacco 13 region, the same everywhere. On the right hand side these are 14 localities that are specific. In other words, you get more credit 15 if you will or more TROF money where there is higher 16 employment or where there is a low prevailing wage rate. 17 DELEGATE MARSHALL: What is the stress 18 index? 19 MR. STEPHENSON: The fiscal stress index is 20 a complex formula that's done by the Commonwealth every 21 several years and it basically reflects the extent to which the 22 23 locality is managing its tax base and contributing to development in that county. We have some high stressed 24 counties in our area. The reason I put this before you is 25

- because often we will have a TROF applicant looking at two or
- 2 more jurisdictions and the question always comes how much
- will you offer and the answer is different depending upon
- 4 which community is asking. In the case of the 320 jobs that I
- 5 just put before you and I just, so I just took two of our
- 6 communities which shall remain unnamed and ran the math
- and the award. One county will be 950 in the award and the
- 8 other county would be \$1.3, that's a material difference. The
- 9 reason I bring this before you is that I want to ask the
- 10 Committee's guidance if you want us to differentiate as
- between communities or whether you want us to make it the
- same thing for everyone.
- DELEGATE KILGORE: I don't have a problem
- with that because if a county has more fiscal stress after you
- go through the formula and the job would be more beneficial
- in county B than A and there's more money to pass through
- there, I really don't have a problem with that.
- DELEGATE MARSHALL: What about the
- 19 Governor's Opportunity Fund. Is that the same scenario
- 20 fiscally?
- MR. STEPHENSON: Danny, when we first
- started these awards, we mirrored the Governor's Opportunity
- Fund. Some four or five years ago we stopped doing that and
- went with your independent program and often we don't look
- 25 at the Governor's Opportunity Fund, they do it differently.

```
DELEGATE MARSHALL: Would they have
1
    given county A less money than county B?
2
                   MR. STEPHENSON: I don't want to evade your
3
    question and I can't speak for what the partnership does but I
4
    assume you've seen it both ways, I don't know what their
5
    policy is.
6
                   DELEGATE KILGORE: What's the issue or
7
    problem? I see the $350,000 issue.
8
                   MR. STEPHENSON: I think the issue, Mr.
9
    Chairman, is that if we offer $950 to one county and a million
10
    three to another county, it could make it difficult for
11
    Commissioners who are approving these, how come they get
12
    more than we do and that could get started and once that gets
13
    going, it produces a lot of unproductive conversation. If it is
14
    the choice of the Committee that you want for them to be the
15
    same, we'll fix the math so they'll always be the same and
16
    there will not be any consideration for local issues such as
17
    unemployment and we'll take those out of the equation.
18
                   SENATOR HAWKINS: The formulary is still
19
    functioning for certain counties?
20
                   MR. STEPHENSON: It does. If you put
21
    everything in the situation even though you're dealing with
22
23
    small counties and you have the flexibility to deal with the
    small counties. If we use the formulary that we've always
24
    used going to larger counties then if you deny them access to
25
```

- some flexibility with more money, they're penalized again. I
- think leaving something that has the flexibility is better
- 3 overall.
- 4 DELEGATE WRIGHT: I would agree with
- 5 Senator Hawkins 100 percent, that's not the first time. Let me
- 6 just say that it's an excellent idea and I'll make a motion to
- 7 that effect to show some consideration for communities under
- 8 stress.
- 9 DELEGATE KILGORE: You're saying leave it
- 10 like it is?
- DELEGATE WRIGHT: Yes.
- DELEGATE KILGORE: Then that requires no
- 13 action.
- MR. STEPHENSON: Just for clarity, the quote
- smaller counties doesn't enter into this. It is unemployment
- rate, fiscal stress et cetera and that can be true even in the big
- 17 counties.
- SENATOR HAWKINS: But the idea today of
- 19 having flexibility to deal with problems that you would not
- 20 have if you used a strict formula.
- MR. OWENS: Is it true that you can only get
- 22 three TROF grants per year per county?
- MR. STEPHENSON: Three awards per fiscal
- year per locality unless this body makes it more than three.
- DELEGATE WRIGHT: So the way it currently

- is, no motion is necessary and we'll continue to operate it as it
- currently is? For the staff and the Commission, would it be
- better with a motion showing that we do consider that an
- 4 important factor?
- 5 MR. STEPHENSON: I'm satisfied with your
- 6 acknowledgement.
- 7 MR. NOYES: If I may members of the
- 8 Committee, where this is going to get a little problematic is
- when we're working with another state agency and they say to
- the company as part of this incentive package, to have you
- come to the Commonwealth, we can offer you this in this
- community and this other amount in this other community.
- Let me tell you we'll hear a lot about that from our partners.
- 14 There's no easy answer to that.
- DELEGATE KILGORE: I think we'd get a
- better bang for our buck if we have a high unemployment area
- and jobs going there, we're paying out on the other end. We're
- paying out on the other end anyway.
- MR. NOYES: Continuing on the present basis
- 20 is just fine with the understanding that this is not a simple
- 21 matter. This is going to complicate life.
- SENATOR HAWKINS: Mr. Chairman, if the
- investment is important enough, we'll have to appropriate
- 24 more money.
- MR. NOYES: I'll mention they should talk to

```
you.
                   DELEGATE WRIGHT: Mr. Chairman, based on
2
   what Ned said about the future, maybe some feedback but I
3
    think we ought to make sure that we are allowing the staff for
4
    telling them that if you want to do it, that doesn't require a
5
   motion, that's fine.
6
                   DELEGATE KILGORE: I think everybody
7
    sitting here certainly has counties both ways, I think maybe
8
   we should leave well enough alone.
9
                   MR. STEPHENSON: Absent your instructions
10
    to the contrary, we're going to continue as we are.
11
                   MS. CARTER: What is the vetting process for
12
   companies that come to you for TROF? Do you use your own
13
    vetting or do you rely on the localities for vetting purposes?
14
                   MR. STEPHENSON: Mary Rae, in the early
15
   years if it was unclear as to who bore the duty of vetting. We
16
   found that when there was a default, everybody at the table
17
   would shrug their shoulders and say it's not my problem.
18
    Come to find out, there wasn't any vetting going on. Five years
19
    ago this Committee changed the contracts to say that the
20
   county and the beneficiary were jointly and severally liable for
21
   repayment of the grant. That caused the counties then to
22
23
    have to vet the deal to make sure that they were safe in
   making this transaction. Currently that burden falls upon the
24
```

1

county.

I would tell you that some counties, if they 1 have vetted a transaction found it to be shaky that required 2 the beneficiary to supply the county with a letter of credit, a 3 commercial letter of credit guaranteeing their performance. 4 That has been very effective in many cases if the company 5 supplied the letter did not perform. We got repaid and the 6 county did not get hurt. In some cases where companies are 7 asked to supply a letter of credit and they cannot, it is my 8 opinion that you have your answer there. You don't want to do the deal because that means they've gone to their bank and 10 can't get it and that means it has a very high probability of 11 failure. 12 One last item Mr. Chairman, when we make 13 approval for a TROF transaction, we issue a written approval 14 with certain terms in it to the recipient and those written 15 approvals contain this sentence and I'll read it to you, "The 16 Commission requires that any announcement regarding this 17 grant may be made only with prior authorization from the 18 Commission." That's a condition under which the award is 19 made. I bring this to your attention because there are 20 frequently announcements made with the Tobacco 21 Commission mentioned or not mentioned where we don't know 22 23 about it until we read it in the newspaper and you guys who need to be involved, who need to be present and don't get a 24 shot at it and you are often and justifiably irritated when that 25

- happens. I guess I'm saying to you that we've put it in the
- contract. So if you want us to enforce it then the enforcement
- is that if the announcement occurs without running it by us,
- 4 we're not going to disburse it and they're going to be hanging
- 5 out there. I don't think you want to do that.
- 6 DELEGATE KILGORE: Folks need to be aware
- of that, that's the way it can be played out if they sign an
- 8 agreement. Somebody needs to be there with those
- 9 announcements; at least that's what I think.
- MR. NOYES: It's uniformly done. It's not just
- one or two jurisdictions.
- MR. STEPHENSON: We often get a call we've
- got this cued up for an announcement tomorrow morning at
- 10:00 o'clock and do you all want to participate. We scramble
- trying to get a hold of you. I just bring that up as a point of
- information. If the Committee wishes us to withhold
- disbursement, you should tell us to do that.
- DELEGATE BYRON: You're talking about
- 19 TROF?
- MR. STEPHENSON: No, I'm talking about
- 21 TROF but also other grants.
- DELEGATE BYRON: I've seen announcements
- the day after our meeting and it might be helpful to bring it up
- 24 during the Commission meeting tomorrow and make sure
- 25 those in attendance would be wise to not run home and talk to

- their favorite reporter. 1 2 DELEGATE MARSHALL: This is a public meeting and the public is sitting here but the meetings we've 3 had in the past the press shows up and they're sitting there 4 and they read everything that we have. This is not an 5 executive session. 6 DELEGATE KILGORE: I think what we're 7 talking about is that when these announcements are made 8 somebody from the Tobacco Commission ought to be there. 9 MR. FERGUSON: This is a problem with TROF 10 grants because unlike the other grants that is provided by the 11 Commission, that is done in a closed session or it's done by a 12 small committee and it doesn't have the publicity involved 13 because of the nature of the TROF. 14 MR. STEPHENSON: I'd like to ask if Craig 15 Horn is present, Buchanan County. Come up Craig. 16 17
- MR. STEPHENSON: I'd like to ask if Craig
 Horn is present, Buchanan County. Come up Craig.
 Members of the Committee, we have an unusual situation in
 which one of your TROF contractors, we've been in a fact
 finding mode. We believe we have the facts of what happened
 and there's a resulting liability from Buchanan County to the
 Commission. Today Craig Horn wanted to come and speak to
 you about that. There are some notes in your book on page 50
 that gives you some indication of what happened so I'll yield
- MR. HORN: It was a good drive from

this to Craig.

- Buchanan to South Hill. I brought this board here to
- 2 illustrate my point. There's \$1.1 million on this project to run
- a trunc sewer line from my property and we own 3,000 acres
- and that's the property we're developing. It's a mix use
- 5 property. We applied to your program for the \$1.1 and we
- 6 matched \$1.9 million to build the trunc line and sewer line.
- 7 At that time we were recording prospects. We signed that
- 8 agreement that Ned's been talking about for a performance of
- 9 400 jobs and a \$10 million investment. That was three years
- ago. Our agreement comes due next month sometime and
- we're not going to meet it, especially on the investment side.
- Buchanan County IDA signed the agreement because the
- tenant would not sign the performance agreement. This
- tenant has never signed the performance agreement. They
- told us they wouldn't do that. The reason we did it and only
- reason we did it is because of the new park. At this time
- there's nothing there but a road, water, sewer, broadband.
- Only property that was developed, this is a service member
- property that's been released from the permit. So we felt like
- 20 get somebody there, a company looking pretty heavily for a site
- 21 and we probably should do a little bit more. We have the rest
- of the money in place and we spent another \$4 or \$5 million.
- Just in this area where the company is would be right here.
- 24 We spent another \$3 or \$4 million on other sites here with
- utilities and improvements; right now as of today, over 300

- employees. One of the issues that's been brought up during
- the same time that we brought the employees up in Buchanan
- 3 County and supposedly Wise County has actually went down,
- 4 same company. When I talked to Ned I told him that may
- 5 affect us if we have to pay the money back, how much, how
- 6 much we have to pay back.
- DELEGATE KILGORE: What's the Wise
- 8 County number?
- 9 MR. HORN: Wise County number of
- employees that work for Sykes for the same quarter went down
- when ours went up over a year's period of time. We went up
- 245 according to VEC, those are the numbers that Ned got
- going down 199 at the same time. We're about an hour and
- 14 35 or 40 minutes apart. Route 83 through Dickenson County
- is the only county in Virginia that doesn't have four lanes. We
- don't think we affected Wise County numbers but we
- understand the agreement we signed three years ago may
- affect that. We feel that there probably is 400 and most likely
- maybe 350 sometime within a couple of months.
- DELEGATE KILGORE: What are they now?
- MR. HORN: A little over 300 with 40 or 50 in
- training. In two or three months it will be 350. All those
- people that don't make it, it's a pretty high percentage. Now,
- on the investment side to give you guys' numbers, the
- 25 Executive Vice President sat down and came up with the

- numbers and we told them to put the number of \$10 million in
- and that's not going to happen. We have to go back and
- assess it. The money we invested doesn't count. Right now I
- 4 think we're at \$6.4 taxable assets so we're going to miss that a
- 5 little bit. That's about \$193,000 on that side of it that we
- 6 probably have to pay back. We're willing to do what we have
- to do but it doesn't affect us on things we're doing in other
- 8 places. I was hoping that you guys would, that you'd do the
- 9 same kind of projects in your communities. We use this
- money for the trunc sewer line as there is 3,000 acres and we
- did not use it specifically on that site. I'm hoping you guys
- can help me out someway with this because we've got some we
- haven't drawn down that we need to do next year in the spring
- or summer.
- DELEGATE KILGORE: On page 50 it says
- Buchanan's liability is 36/400, what does that mean?
- MR. STEPHENSON: That means the promise
- was 400 employees. Fact shows there are 46, 46 out of 400
- resulting in a liability of \$486,000. I need to know Terry that
- 20 the 46 employees is the net employment gain for the two
- entities in Wise County and Buchanan County. The TROF
- 22 contract provides that you cannot get the TROF incentive for
- moving employees from one location in Virginia to another.
- 24 When we look at employment, we look at the net employment
- in Virginia. If you take them out of one county and put them

- in another one, it doesn't count.
- DELEGATE KILGORE: I don't think they're
- 3 going to be driving from Wise to Buchanan.
- 4 SENATOR PUCKETT: Mr. Chairman, I've
- 5 driven that road more than I'd like to. Unless somebody can
- 6 show me from VEC or somewhere these are actually
- employees, I understand Ned's figures, the net number but
- 8 there's no way in the world if you go to look at the site today in
- 9 Buchanan County 300 plus, that's exactly what is there. The
- people up on the hill and you can go up and count them. To
- say Buchanan County shouldn't get credit for 325 or 300 or
- whatever it is, is not fair to Buchanan County to say that you
- all took them from Wise County. They didn't do that; you
- can't get there from here. They don't make enough money to
- afford to drive like that, a roundtrip everyday. It's physically
- impossible and they would not do it because of what it would
- cost them to do it. I'll sort of confess I don't understand that
- language in that contract. It says if you move them around
- because that literally just has not happened in Buchanan
- 20 County. They should be given credit for the number they have
- in Buchanan County and I don't believe Sykes has transferred
- 22 them. If I'm in Wise and Sykes comes to me, and says we're
- 23 going to move you to Grundy, I'm going to say thank you and
- 24 I'll look somewhere else because I'm not driving to Grundy
- 25 roundtrip five days a week. Keep in mind the hours that you

- drive, you don't have an 8 to 5 job. I don't know how we got
- 2 46 but like Craig told you all, these numbers and they're
- accurate. I'm going to tell you that they don't steal employees
- 4 from Wise. If the VEC can document that, say that other than
- just looking at numbers, then I would accept it but they can't
- 6 do that. They don't know.
- 7 MR. STEPHENSON: That is correct. VEC
- 8 doesn't know where they're located.
- 9 SENATOR PUCKETT: Mr. Chairman, we do
- know that there are 300 plus people in southern gap right
- 11 **now.**
- DELEGATE KILGORE: Within two months
- there will be 350?
- SENATOR PUCKETT: I'd say there will be a
- 15 few less than that because you won't know that until you get
- through with this.
- MR. HORN: I talked to Carl Snodgrass at
- 18 Buchanan County with another person that came to the
- meeting. He said he would check. He said he was pretty sure
- 20 that didn't happen. I don't have a letter but it's my
- understanding he drafted a letter to explain the situation from
- 22 Wise County and it has not affected us. We did have one
- person move in the beginning because this moving from
- 24 assistant ID person to the director of IT in Buchanan. He
- 25 actually moved. It was a move up for that person. Other than

- that, that's the only person I know of.
- 2 SENATOR WAMPLER: An observation to the
- 3 Committee. I would have to concur with the analysis. It
- 4 would be hard from a monetary standpoint to decide to change
- 5 a job location from the County of Wise to the County of
- 6 Buchanan and that probably would be anywhere from an hour
- to an hour and a half so that premise is very hard to believe. I
- 8 don't even think VEC can justify that even if that was the case
- 9 Mr. Chairman. The question I wanted to ask Craig is can you
- bring your map up a second? I want the Committee to
- understand that you're on top of a mountain in the green area
- there and that's a former surface mine area. If you look in the
- lower right, the black box that is where Sykes is located. I
- think I'm doing all right so far. How much has Buchanan
- 15 County received or put in as an investment as a result of
- Sykes being the anchor tenant? That green there where you
- call southern gap, what do you think the total investment of
- that facility at that park would be?
- MR. HORN: So far we've got about \$25 million
- 20 in it.
- SENATOR WAMPLER: Mr. Chairman, my
- point is that when that park was developed, the economy was
- 23 going along pretty well and they've made some significant
- investments and unfortunately the geography requires us to
- 25 pump water from the bottom of the mountain to the top of the

- mountain and then on the other side have a pressure
- 2 reduction valve so we don't blow the lines out. That's why you
- have a cost of \$25 million to improve that property but if it
- were not for Sykes, I'm not sure that we would have that \$25
- 5 million investment. It does not justify the exact agreement
- 6 that Ned speaks to but if it wasn't for Sykes, we wouldn't have
- 7 the \$25 million investment as it exist today.
- 8 MR. HORN: That's right.
- 9 MS. CARTER: I have driven from the site in
- Wise to the site in Grundy and I agree with Senator Wampler
- and Senator Puckett that there is no way, that the people are
- not going to or not for the money, they're just not going to do
- it. I had a conversation last week about the site in Wise.
- What they do in Wise is totally different from what they do in
- Buchanan County, totally different. I don't think there's any
- job shifting along those lines.
- DELEGATE KILGORE: The total investment
- 18 by Sykes is \$6.5?
- MR. HORN: \$6.4.
- DELEGATE MARSHALL: You told us about
- the investment but how many jobs have been created other
- 22 than Sykes in the park?
- MR. HORN: In the park, nothing else in the
- park right now. We're still building and improving the
- utilities. By next year all the utilities should be in. Next

- spring we start with the utilities and then we have to get the
- 2 roads fixed so people can have access to the property.
- MR. STEPHENSON: Mr. Chairman, I observe
- 4 that in some cases, and I don't know if it's true of Sykes but in
- some cases it is possible to move the company's work from one
- 6 location to another without moving the company's people from
- one location to the other and maybe only Sykes would know
- 8 the answer to that. If they downsize one area and cranked up
- 9 the other, the people didn't move but the work did.
- DELEGATE KILGORE: Mary Rae, you seem to
- 11 know more about it.
- MS. CARTER: I would agree with what Ned
- says. In Wise County they have a very, very tight scripted
- contract. Everyone in Wise County work for one contractor.
- 15 The criteria for hiring people there is almost to the point of
- extreme. They require heavy, heavy background checks. You
- can't hire these people without background checks and they
- have to be certified. The fact that any of that would occur is
- unlikely. I do know they just purchased another company, I
- think it's 30,000 or 35,000 additional jobs. They're extremely
- pleased with the workforce they have in Wise and Buchanan.
- I personally, especially after being able to talk to them in Wise
- 23 County. I think you're probably going to see people working in
- Virginia a little more. There's a possibility they'll be increasing
- 25 the number of jobs at one of those sites.

1	DELEGATE KILGORE: We need to get rid of
2	this issue somehow so Craig can sleep at night and Ned can
3	sleep at night and so I can sleep at night.
4	SENATOR PUCKETT: I don't want to speak
5	out of turn here but Craig mentioned the class of employees
6	and I tried to step up and do what's right here. It might be
7	good to explain what that number is if the Commission feels
8	comfortable in doing that and then we'll know exact figures.
9	Buchanan County has said we're going to pay whatever we
10	owe but I think in fairness to them if we go through this and
11	we're willing to accept the numbers, let's see what the new
12	class does and we'll know that probably the first of the year.
13	MR. HORN: Where they got that number -
14	SENATOR PUCKETT: Craig and I grew up -
15	MR. STEPHENSON: - Mr. Chairman, in this
16	particular case, which is unusual, Sykes is not party to the
17	contract and it's difficult to demand any information from
18	them.
19	MR. OWENS: The performance agreement
20	says it has to be done in 36 months?
21	MR. STEPHENSON: Yes.
22	MR. OWENS: We need to figure from 9/28/10
23	how many people are employed there at this time and it would
24	be three years from that date?
25	MR. STEPHENSON: Yes, sir.

1	DELEGATE KILGORE: A little over 300.
2	MR. OWENS: Then how many people were
3	there from 9/28/2010?
4	MR. STEPHENSON: Mr. Owens, what we can
5	tell with certainty is the net employee count at Sykes,
6	Incorporated. VEC does not know where they are located, they
7	simply know what the total count is. We would depend on
8	local intelligence how many are in each place.
9	MR. HORN: The total number in Virginia
10	meaning Wise and Buchanan.
11	MS. CARTER: Mr. Chairman, I don't have a
12	problem contacting the officials and asking them to help us
13	with that if that would help. He's a senior vice president for
14	the company.
15	DELEGATE KILGORE: Is he located in Wise?
16	MS. CARTER: Yes, he moves around.
17	MR. OWENS: Do we concede the fact that they
18	invested \$6.5 million?
19	MR. HORN: Yes, at the time we signed the
20	agreement. Obviously there is much more incentive than
21	there is now.
22	MR. OWENS: But counting the investment at
23	\$6.5?
24	MR. HORN: Yes.
25	MR. OWENS: So that's not the issue, it's just

the jobs. 1 SENATOR PUCKETT: Mr. Chairman since 2 Mary Rae has offered to at least to try to find that out, why 3 don't we let her do that and we'll resolve that issue when she 4 gets that information. 5 DELEGATE KILGORE: Then we'll put this off 6 until January? It's fine with me. 7 SENATOR PUCKETT: Then the alternative is 8 to accept the figures that Craig said and get rid of it. I'm 9 willing to do that if somebody wants to make that motion. 10 MR. HORN: I'll tell you the people that are 11 there are being paid and they're employed. 12 MR. STEPHENSON: There are several 13 possibilities here. One might be to ask the county if it is 14 willing, if it would promptly negotiate a settlement with us as 15 to the capital investment side which is known. That we give 16 an extension of a year's time together with Mary's efforts to get 17 a figure or find out how many people are there and give them a 18 chance to make the hurdle on the employment side. 19 SENATOR REYNOLDS: I so move. 20 SENATOR RUFF: Second. 21 DELEGATE KILGORE: It's been moved and 22 seconded, all those in favor say aye. (Ayes). Opposed. (No 23 response). 24

25

MR. CROWDER: I'm O. T. Crowder. I want to

- thank you all on behalf of our Board for your support for
- 2 Appomattox. Because of the reserve fund we were able to
- attract the funds to open the museum and we're ready to start
- 4 construction of our project. However, because of the nature of
- 5 the private funding and over a period of time say five years,
- 6 primarily the tax year, that about once a year will be deriving
- 7 cash funding from the fund, those funds are available to us to
- spend. In order to begin construction in the fall, we need your
- 9 assistance for two items to make the money work. First we
- have to take advances against the current grant that we have
- more quickly for the first part of the project so we can meet the
- cash requirements of our construction costs.
- Secondly, we need to be able to use the
- 14 acreage that we purchased for the site and all improvements
- to that site for collateral to secure the private loan to allow us
- to bridge the construction costs.
- DELEGATE KILGORE: Neal, do you have the
- 18 authority to do that?
- MR. NOYES: I don't have that authority and
- these are policy issues which is why I've asked them to come.
- 21 The construction costs recognizing the particular situation, I
- would be happy to honor your instructions.
- SENATOR HAWKINS: What's the time line and
- with the 150th anniversary coming up and 460 all the way
- 25 across, I would certainly move that we give these folks the

```
flexibility they need.
1
                   SENATOR RUFF: Second.
2
                   DELEGATE KILGORE: I have a motion and a
3
    second. Any more discussion on this? All in favor say aye
4
    (Ayes). Opposed. (No response).
5
                   MR. NOYES: On the TROF matter, in talking
6
    with Ned, we have commitments that exceed the available
7
    resources. Ned can back me up here, for about $1 million.
8
    We have projects that have expired and we don't believe it will
9
    go without the $1 million so we think we're at the break even
10
    point with the TROF program four months from the fiscal year.
11
                   MR. STEPHENSON: Yes, in October we're out
12
    of money.
13
                   MR. NOYES: I would welcome a motion that if
14
    you wish the TROF program to continue to perform, then my
15
    motion is to move $4 million from the unbudgeted amount
16
    that we've already invaded.
17
18
                   NOTE: The meeting is interrupted by staff
19
    from the library indicating a tornado is in the area.
20
21
                   MR. NOYES: $8.35 million was not budgeted
22
23
    but we invaded for it last year, I'm sorry, the current fiscal
    year and the money is sitting there unbudgeted. I think we
24
    need to move the $4 million.
25
```

SENATOR PUCKETT: So moved. 1 SENATOR HAWKINS: Second. 2 DELEGATE KILGORE: We have a motion and 3 a second, any more discussion? All those in favor (ayes). 4 Opposed. (No response). 5 MR. NOYES: You should have in front of you 6 an email that was received yesterday morning from Dr. Berry 7 Johnson from UVA representing the Commonwealth Center for 8 Advanced Manufacturing. The proposal I emailed to members of the Executive Committee. I think you've got hard copies 10 here and that is the result of the discussions that the Vice 11 Chairman, the Chairman and I had with the principles of 12 CCAM including Rolls Royce over the last six months. They're 13 seeking \$4 million which is different than the \$12.5. 14 Originally \$3 million which they're now seeking \$4 million 15 from us and the \$2.5 million that would be used for 16 construction purposes for the nonprofit CCAM research facility 17 to be located in Prince George County as part of the footprint. 18 The balance of the funds would be used principally as 19 described in this memo. This job would be dedicated to 20 advancing the company's interest in locating in the Tobacco 21 Commission area. More importantly in my view, I think also 22 23 Delegate Kilgore and Senator Ruff who share my view on this is that they're prepared to work with the Tobacco Commission 24

to develop an advanced manufacturing and education program

- and identified equipment overseeing the cooperation of the
- 2 private sector partnership. We are talking Siemens, Canon,
- Rolls Royce and some other very, very well known business
- 4 people. In talking in particular with the Rolls Royce folks, the
- 5 labor profile is sufficient for the parts manufacturing facility
- 6 that I guess will be in the January/February timeframe and
- 7 they're already hiring and training people. Rolls Royce plans
- to open and this is just one company, Rolls Royce, 30
- 9 production facilities over the next decade. Looking at our local
- labor profile, they would say those aren't going to be in
- southern Virginia because you don't have the folks trained in
- the advanced manufacturing or with the advanced
- manufacturing skills we would require. The same is certainly
- true for other partner members of CCAM or of companies not
- related to CCAM and must rely on advanced manufacturing.
- This is the piece of this deal, which for me, I find most
- important. That is beginning the process of resolving and
- cooperating with the community colleges in advanced
- manufacturing curriculum and then providing the equipment
- to support that to get our labor force in line with other places
- so we can have the opportunity to capture some of these very,
- very fine employers. Is \$2.5 million out of the footprint,
- 23 they're matching this, they're matching us dollar for dollar and
- 24 it's not in that sense hugely unlike what we did for Massey. I
- support this and I don't think it's going to get any better. We

- need to tell these folks that we're prepared to work with them
- or we need to end the discussions at this point. I welcome
- 3 comments of the Commission.
- 4 SENATOR RUFF: Mr. Chairman, obviously
- 5 with somebody outside the footprint causes some heartburn
- but the reality is that Rolls Royce is the type of company that
- 7 can push and lead us into a new era. I've met with them and
- 8 workforce is their number one issue. We've got to change the
- 9 way we do workforce and unless we get serious, we cannot
- compete so I would support this and I move we do.
- MR. NOYES: Ned has in his pocket a motion
- that would transfer \$4 million from the unbudgeted amount
- we had used for the invasion to the budget of the Special
- Projects Committee which is the next Committee that will meet
- to consider a formal application. We do not now have a formal
- application with the line items all filled out and with the
- signatures that we would have to have. If it's your decision to
- move this forward and move this \$4 million for this purpose to
- the Special Projects Committee and they will meet in mid
- December and we'll have a recommendation for the full
- 21 Commission at the January meeting.
- DELEGATE KILGORE: Then they'd be able to
- 23 ask the perfect questions about this.
- MR. NOYES: There's some little details that
- 25 have to be worked out. The broad structure of the agreement

is what you see here. 1 2 DELEGATE MARSHALL: The only question that's really before us today is we move the \$4 million. You 3 still have to have special projects approval and they have to 4 say yes and then it will go before the full board? 5 MR. NOYES: Yes. 6 DELEGATE MARSHALL: We're not approving 7 this today, it's just to transfer the \$4 million? 8 MR. NOYES: I think Ned said it originally, 9 we're making this possible. 10 MR. STEPHENSON: You bring up a fine point 11 and that is, are we approving it today, yes or no and my 12 understanding earlier from conversations that what the 13 director was asking to do today is what? 14 MR. NOYES: What I'm asking today to be very 15 clear is that we move the \$4 million as I suggested to Special 16 Projects, that we get an application and there be a staff 17 recommendation that is just the same way we do with our 18 other programs. The Special Projects Committee will make a 19 determination whether or not to recommend for approval at 20 the January meeting. 21 MR. STEPHENSON: For clarity, the motion is 22 to increase the special projects committee budget by \$4 23 million. 24

25

SENATOR RUFF: That would be my motion.

1	MR. OWENS: Second.
2	DELEGATE KILGORE: Any discussion?
3	MR. OWENS: The full applications will go to
4	special projects and then go before the full Commission if it's
5	recommended from Special Projects.
6	MR. NOYES: If you're not willing to consider
7	this, then we don't need to move any money.
8	DELEGATE WRIGHT: I think you've asked a
9	good question. Well, as far as a vote, I feel that at this point I
10	don't have enough information to support it. It sounds good
11	but my questions would involve the support facilities that may
12	be built and so forth, where these support facilities will be
13	built. These are questions we should have answered first.
14	MR. NOYES: Let me lay the ground work for
15	that. The supply chain for the Prince George County campus
16	is a 20 year process and it's not something that's going to
17	happen in two years or three years or four years. There will be
18	companies that are part of that supply chain for whatever
19	reason will be in Tidewater, they'll be in North Carolina. Will
20	there be jobs coming into the region? Almost certainly but
21	nobody can tell you right now how many and when.
22	SENATOR HAWKINS: Call the question.
23	SENATOR WAMPLER: With that motion, I
24	think it needs to be very clear that we would invite an
25	application to the special projects committee because I don't

- know that that deal is necessarily open. So along with that
- transferring of dollars, we'd ask the Executive Committee to
- approve and invite the applicant and invite the application
- 4 from CCAM. That may be the motion.
- 5 MR. OWENS: I would second it.
- 6 DELEGATE KILGORE: I have a motion and a
- second, any more discussion? All those in favor of
- 8 transferring \$4 million to the Special Projects Committee to
- 9 invite CCAM to come and make an application, say aye.
- 10 (Ayes). Opposed. (No response).
- SENATOR REYNOLDS: I was hoping that with
- regards to paragraph five, that would make that applicable to
- the tobacco region as much as anything else.
- DELEGATE KILGORE: I think that's good.
- MR. NOYES: The final matter, Mr. Chairman,
- I was asked at the previous meeting to inform this Committee
- on a program called the EB-5 Visa Program. I believe you
- have a handout with large print pages prepared by the
- 19 Economic Development Partnership and that's part of a
- 20 Committee effort that Secretary Chang directed earlier this
- year. The top sheet has a few points of emphasis.
- 22 Summarized foreign investors bring \$500,000 to the table to
- 23 secure permanent residency in the United States. They don't
- do it for other reasons other than they get a green card. The
- 25 Commission's interest is in securing foreign direct investments

- for economic revitalization purposes and there's no other
- 2 reason for the Commission to be involved. The Commission
- would specify particular investment partners. For example,
- 4 the Commission might target bio refinery, bio communications
- or telecommunications infrastructure, employment of solar
- 6 technology, healthcare facilities, the variety of economic
- 7 clusters that you can select is virtually infinite. They have
- 8 cruise ship tournaments, they have meat packing plants, they
- 9 have a huge number of things. It would be your responsibility
- to identify what the areas of emphasis would be or the
- economic development clusters. The Department of Homeland
- Security has to approve the investment target. A regional
- center which is nothing more than an aggregation of some
- number of contiguous political subdivisions must be
- designated and the Commission would do this and the
- Department of Homeland Security would approve this for that
- 17 hunk of geography if one is a regional center. An entire state
- could be a regional center and some regional centers are entire
- states. Some are limited liability corporations. Those are
- 20 nonprofits. This is a mixed variety where you can go about
- doing this.
- A half a million dollars is the buy in for foreign
- investors in rural areas. It's an aggregate of \$10 million that's
- required. The Department of Homeland Security would, when
- 25 an EB-5 Visa is requested, it is responsible for finding that the

- funds are not illicit, a minimum of 10 jobs must be created
- within two years to reach a half million dollars. Both direct
- and indirect jobs count and you can use any kind of formulary
- 4 you want to and Homeland Security will be happy to look at
- 5 this is my understanding on a portfolio basis. Your \$10
- 6 million will fund three different projects. Some of them create
- 7 10 jobs and some of them don't and you're still in good shape
- 8 and not in violation. There are two basic approaches. First
- 9 there is a specific project and the one I see most often is
- looking at what other of these centers, regional centers have
- done. One is hotel deals and Seattle does hotel deals and
- 12 Portland does. We can do a hotel deal in Prince Edward
- 13 County and things like that. We can do all kinds of different
- things. It is for a specific project. The other is that you would
- create an investment pool and then from that investment pool
- low interest loans for equity investments are made.
- SENATOR HAWKINS: What I've heard you say
- and what I see on paper, what are we talking about
- individually as a Commission going out and, we're going to be
- in partnership with the federal government and looking for us.
- MR. NOYES: The way it works is we contract
- with an entity and set up a rural center and be responsible for
- the paperwork and things like that and your cost is a quarter
- of a million or \$300,000 as a one time expense to get a
- turnkey operation. You've still got to decide what the hunk of

- geography is and you got to decide what your economic
- incentive is. Then you hire an SCC registered guy to go and
- market this program in China or India wherever it is you want
- 4 it done. The broker/dealer makes his money to the surcharge
- 5 with the investors over and above their \$500,000 or like
- 6 \$35,000 per investor. That's how that person makes the
- 7 money.
- SENATOR WAMPLER: I'll take a crack at it.
- 9 Senator Hawkins was my desk mate for a number of years and
- 10 I've learned to read you in various fashions. It's pretty easy
- when Governor McDonald travels to a foreign country and
- tries to attract investment. Many times it's in the hundreds of
- millions of dollars and goes to other parts of the state. I think
- what our director is trying to tell us, this is an opportunity to
- look at the smaller investor and I think if we target that, I
- think we have an opportunity to attract some of that foreign
- investment either individually or in an aggregated fashion in
- the rural parts of the state within the footprint. The federal
- 19 government has established guidelines and all we're doing is
- 20 putting structure around it and recognizing it and putting the
- 21 Commonwealth's good housekeeping seal of approval on it. I
- would say it's just as important to get 10 jobs as it is a 100.
- Let's do that 10 times in both regions and if our governor is
- 24 going to go out and sell the Commonwealth, we ought to give
- 25 him the tool from this Tobacco Commission to set up a

- meeting that says we're going to accept foreign investment and
- 2 it's a heck of a lot cheaper for us to for programs like this than
- it is for us to do our own trade mission to Germany or Asia or
- 4 any part of the globe. I kind of think that's where the director
- 5 is taking us on this one.
- 6 SENATOR HAWKINS: If we get into these
- 7 agreements, the ultimate decision on the location of funds will
- 8 have to go through the same process we have today.
- 9 MR. NOYES: We're not at that place yet where
- we have decided what the geography is going to be and what
- the areas of emphasis are. I really don't see a down side trying
- this. There are not any of these regional centers in the
- 13 Commonwealth. We're not seeing foreign direct investments.
- 14 If we set one of these up, almost certainly there will be an
- appetite because foreign investors want to, they want to send
- their kids to a university in the United States but this is a
- turnkey operation. We've got steps that we have to take and
- then it is an RFP and we hire somebody that's done this
- before, a law firm probably in Richmond and they would
- supply all the paperwork to the rural center, they identify the
- registered broker dealer and the broker dealer then goes and
- 22 says this exists and these are the rules. Give me your
- \$500,000 here for a project or it's in a pool and they're buying
- shares in the pool. I think it's a good idea and it's going to
- require \$250 to \$300,000 to go through the RFP process.

1	SENATOR REYNOLDS: Can somebody explain
2	to me the details on how the first bullet works?
3	DELEGATE KILGORE: As far as I understand
4	it, it allows these foreign investors to get a Visa for their kid
5	where the children can come here to go to college.
6	SENATOR HAWKINS: It's a permanent Visa
7	and they're buying a green card for maybe a half a million
8	dollars.
9	SENATOR REYNOLDS: I wish I understood
10	that better.
11	DELEGATE KILGORE: I wish I did too.
12	MR. NOYES: But it's not something else.
13	Their \$500,000 has to be found to be clean. They apply for it
14	they're going to get the green card and the only way they will
15	lose it is misbehave or if it doesn't create those 10 jobs within
16	two years and then the Department of Homeland Security can
17	withdraw the card. It's permanent residency in the United
18	States.
19	SENATOR REYNOLDS: The bullet says, "the
20	VEDP reports that the record of rural centers is spotty."
21	MR. NOYES: That's all I know. What has
22	happened is that they got in trouble with the Department on
23	particular projects not succeeded with the Department of
24	Homeland Security and green cards have been withdrawn.
25	SENATOR WAMPLER: Senator Reynolds I

- would only add to you that the inquiry or if you're going to
- invest a half a million dollars or be part of a greater group, you
- want to follow your investment, that's one of the reasons why
- 4 you have the federal government allowing the Visa to be
- 5 issued. The spottiness and under lessons learned from others
- or states that tried it pretty loose and that's why Secretary
- 7 Chang is trying to say let's get focused to it and let's have a
- 8 reputable firm that's certified and not a fly by night operation
- 9 that would say send me money and you'll be eligible under the
- 10 EB-5. That's really why we're trying to put structure around it
- from the state level so he goes and sells it where he'll say we're
- 12 a beacon in Virginia.
- DELEGATE MARSHALL: If we move forward
- on this, do we, how would we track the number of jobs? Do
- we want to try to be specific for energy or software?
- MR. NOYES: We decide what the economic
- clusters will be the focus for this regional center and what that
- will involve. As to tracking how many of the jobs actually
- 19 happened, the truth, Delegate Marshall, is that this is going to
- 20 rely on all kinds of econometric models and things of that sort
- 21 and I'm not sure that we will be able to tell you with any
- certainty the number of jobs created.
- DELEGATE MARSHALL: That's what I mean
- by tracking. Do we want to make it specific for the type of jobs
- 25 as a software job as opposed to a -

MR. NOYES: - That's the option that you have. 1 That's what the Commission must decide. One would be 2 geography, what you want. The action item, if you want to go 3 forward, would be to move \$250,000 to \$300,000 4 administratively to the administrative line item so an RFP can 5 be issued. We're not going to do that ahead of doing what 6 Delegate Marshall suggests and having the geography defined. 7 That's the action item if you want to take the next step today, 8 its \$250 to \$300 thousand. 9 SENATOR WAMPLER: Mr. Chairman, if 10 there's a motion in order or be in order to recommend to the 11 full Commission tomorrow to move an amount between \$250 12 to \$300 thousand to work in partnership with the Secretary of 13 Commerce and Trade foreign investment, I would make it, an 14 amount up to \$300,000 if that's an acceptable motion. 15 SENATOR PUCKETT: Second. 16 DELEGATE KILGORE: I have a motion and a 17 second. 18 MR. NOYES: It goes to the administrative line 19 item. 20 MR. OWENS: Do we get to choose the type of 21 cluster? 22 DELEGATE KILGORE: There could be two or 23 three different kinds.

MR. NOYES: What I was prepared to do today

24

25

- was to describe what the program is about and tell you what
- the next steps are and it may be that if this Committee wants
- 3 recommendations from staff, maybe it wants a
- 4 recommendation from Special Projects or wants to have an all
- 5 hands discussion at the next Commission meeting.
- 6 MS. CARTER: We had Secretary Chang to
- 7 explain this to the Commission but he's actively working along
- 8 those lines. Peter Su and Jim Rhee are working along those
- 9 lines on this and very actively working along those lines. I
- think they'd be able to give you some more in depth
- information. Jimmy Rhee just got back from Korea. I thought
- 12 that the motion -
- DELEGATE KILGORE: All we're doing is
- moving money and authorizing the executive director to start
- these discussions. Then at the next committee meeting make
- a report to us on the clusters and where we're going to go in
- consultation with the Secretary's office. I think that would be
- the best bet.
- SENATOR WAMPLER: I would have to agree
- 20 Mr. Chairman, I think the motion today was to set the funding
- in place to do that. It seems to me the Special Project
- 22 Committee is probably the logical place to be. We could have
- 23 Secretary Chang meet with us in December and report back in
- January what structure he recommends to be placed around it
- 25 if that is appropriate.

1	DELEGATE BYRON: I have a question, the
2	amount for the contracting work, does that have to be
3	approved or does that come out of a different pot? If you're
4	contracting with somebody for \$250 or \$300 thousand, does
5	that have to be approved as well?
6	MR. NOYES: That's what the money would be
7	used for. If you transfer it, it's for that purpose but we're not
8	there to issue the RFP yet.
9	DELEGATE BYRON: If you're moving that, I'm
10	talking about besides that.
11	MR. NOYES: \$250 to \$300 thousand is the
12	turnkey to set up the center and get the Department of
13	Homeland Security to do their things.
14	SENATOR WAMPLER: Mr. Chairman, I just
15	say that the director is telling us he wants an amount up to
16	\$300,000 and we can leave it at that or wait.
17	DELEGATE KILGORE: With a provision that
18	we would discuss it at the next meeting whether it's the
19	Executive Committee or full committee, it doesn't matter to
20	me, probably the Executive Committee.
21	MR. NOYES: Special Projects will be making a
22	recommendation, that's what I think will happen.
23	DELEGATE KILGORE: That's fine.
24	DELEGATE WRIGHT: I agree with what the
25	executive secretary said. I'd like to have more information. If I

- vote yes in my mind and I probably could be persuaded to do
- that but in addition to what Senator Reynolds said, also a vote
- 3 that says the risk involved in dollars and reputation of
- 4 Virginia's brand and I'm not sure what that means. So I don't
- 5 know what to tell you but I don't have enough information to
- 6 vote. I just don't have enough information on this.
- DELEGATE MARSHALL: Can I make a
- 8 suggestion that on Mary Rae's idea, the question before us
- 9 today is to transfer the money so Special Projects can look at
- it. If Special Projects looks at the project then it will come
- back to the full Committee and there's a lot of people here that
- aren't on Special Projects. So I would suggest that the
- Secretary and one of his people do a conference call and make
- sure everybody in this group understands because this is a
- pretty good step that we all know we'll be comfortable with it.
- MR. FERGUSON: A conference call might be a
- 17 problem especially with FOIA.
- DELEGATE MARSHALL: A small conference
- 19 call.
- MR. OWENS: You won't get a recommendation
- 21 from Special Projects, will you? Won't they make a
- recommendation to take the application?
- MR. NOYES: Not an application for this. It
- doesn't get spent until the full Commission agrees on where
- 25 the centers are going to be, what the center is going to be

```
about and all the terms. It's not like we can spend this money
1
   and this is just the first step.
2
                   SENATOR REYNOLDS: I've got to say that I'm
3
   very concerned about that first bullet. I don't know what
4
    business the Tobacco Commission has in helping people
5
    obtain permanent residency in the United States. I don't think
6
    that should be an issue that we should be dealing with.
7
    Maybe my perspective on this is warped and I apologize for
8
    that but it seems to me that's a federal issue. I'd feel more
9
    comfortable about this and what we're about if that was not
10
    part of it. I would encourage if there's a possibility of doing
11
   what you're doing and staying away from any discussion about
12
    green cards, aren't the feds going to have to do that?
13
                   MR. NOYES: The feds set this program up.
14
                   SENATOR REYNOLDS: I understand that but
15
    what I'm trying to say, maybe I'm not doing a good job but I
16
    wouldn't like to see any discussion about whether or not an
17
   investment is made in Virginia that somehow the Tobacco
18
```

Commission played a role in providing green cards or seeing
people are here as a result of some investment they were
making. I don't feel comfortable with that.

DELEGATE KILGORE: We're going to have to

hear from the Secretary anyway as far as how the program operates.

25 SENATOR REYNOLDS: Help me to

23

24

- understand, I apologize. 1 2 DELEGATE KILGORE: What we're doing Roscoe is that foreign investors are investing money and 3 creating jobs, \$500,000 minimum and 10 jobs and that gets 4 them a permanent Visa in the United States. 5 SENATOR REYNOLDS: But how do we get 6 involved in that process? 7 DELEGATE KILGORE: We'll be setting up a 8 rural center for a regional center and we're authorizing these 9 dollars to come into us or to come into the center to create 10 jobs. 11 SENATOR REYNOLDS: Couldn't that be done 12 without their being any reference to a green card? 13 DELEGATE KILGORE: That's one of the 14 reasons they want to do it. 15 DELEGATE MARSHALL: I think maybe the 16 sensitivity of this is that, there's 24 centers trying to get jobs 17 for folks that -18 DELEGATE KILGORE: The jobs are for our 19 people. 20 DELEGATE MARSHALL: That's where I was 21 headed, to create jobs locally, we're not bringing in 10 folks to 22 23 create jobs, 10 jobs for people in the Tobacco Commission
- MS. CARTER: The regional centers that's

area.

24

- when the effort will be to create within the tobacco region jobs
- and then they would get their green cards and the money
- 3 would be invested.
- 4 MR. NOYES: The foreign nationals have to be
- 5 in the centers. Their money goes into it.
- 6 MS. CARTER: The money goes in there and in
- 7 the tobacco region, that's the incentive.
- 8 DELEGATE KILGORE: We have a motion and
- 9 a second. All we're doing is transferring the money over to
- Special Projects. All those in favor say aye to transfer the
- money and madam secretary we'll get a presentation at the
- Special Projects Committee meeting. All those in favor say
- 13 aye. (Ayes). Opposed.
- DELEGATE WRIGHT: No.
- DELEGATE KILGORE: Stephanie, you're up.
- MS. KIM: We have a budget transfer of \$4
- million added to TROF. I'd like to transfer the remaining
- unobligated balance from technology \$1,090,855.00 to the
- 19 TROF and that would increase the TROF balance to about \$5.
- DELEGATE KILGORE: It's been moved and
- seconded. All in favor say aye (Ayes). Opposed. (No
- 22 response).
- MS. KIM: We'd like to transfer the remaining
- 24 unclaimed balance of \$1,068,022.00 from the 2009
- indemnification as unclaimed and transfer that to the

1	Agribusiness Committee.
2	DELEGATE KILGORE: It's been moved and
3	seconded. All those in favor say aye. (Ayes). Opposed. (No
4	response). Stephanie, is that it?
5	MS. KIM: Yes.
6	DELEGATE WRIGHT: Mr. Chairman, I know
7	the Vice Chairman is going to beat me to this but I'd just like
8	to welcome everyone from the Tobacco Commission and all the
9	folks here. Welcome them to Southside. The Tobacco
10	Commission is very important to our area and done an
11	excellent job and I thank you all for coming.
12	DELEGATE KILGORE: Thank you Delegate
13	Wright. Is there any public comment? Going once, going
14	twice. We're adjourned.
15	
16	PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE OF THE COURT REPORTER
2	
3	
4	I, Medford W. Howard, Registered Professional
5	Reporter and Notary Public for the State of Virginia at Large,
6	do hereby certify that I was the court reporter who took down
7	and transcribed the proceedings of the VIRGINIA TOBACCO
8	INDEMNIFICATION AND COMMUNITY REVITALIZATION
9	COMMISSION, Executive Committee Meeting when held on
10	Wednesday, October 27, 2010 at 5:00 p.m. at the R. T. Arnold
11	Library in South Hill, Virginia.
12	I further certify this is a true and accurate
13	transcript to the best of my ability to hear and understand the
14	proceedings.
15	Given under my hand this 15th day of November,
16	2010.
17	
18	
19	
20	Medford W. Howard
21	Registered Professional Reporter
22	Notary Public for the State of Virginia at Large
23	MY COMMISSION EXPIRES: October 31, 2014
24	CERTIFICATE NUMBER: 224566
25	